


This is an English translation of the "Independent Assurance Report" issued in Japanese by KPMG AZSA Sustainability Co., Ltd., for "Sharp Environmental and Social Report 2011" prepared originally in Japanese by Sharp Corporation.

July 20, 2011

Mr. Mikio Katayama, the President of Sharp Corporation

KPMG AZSA Sustainability Co., Ltd.
Ginsen Bingomachi Building, 3-6-5
Kawara-machi, Chuo-ku Osaka 541-0048
Uozumi Ryuta (Seal)
Chief Executive Officer
Yukinobu Matsuo (Seal)
Executive Director

Purpose and Scope

We were engaged by Sharp Corporation (the "Company") to provide limited assurance on its 'Sharp Environmental and Social Report 2011' (the "Report") on its website for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011. The purpose of our assurance engagement was to express our conclusion, based on our assurance procedures, on whether the environmental performance indicators marked with :  for the period from April 1, 2010 to March 31, 2011 included in the Report (the "Indicators") are prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with the Company's reporting criteria.

The content of the Report is the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to carry out limited assurance procedures and to express our conclusion.

Criteria

The Company applies its own reporting criteria as described in the Report. These are derived, among others, from the Sustainability Reporting Guidelines 2006 of the Global Reporting Initiative and Environmental Reporting Guidelines of Japan's Ministry of the Environment. We used these criteria to evaluate the Indicators.

Procedures Performed

We conducted our engagement in accordance with 'International Standard on Assurance Engagements (ISAE) 3000, Assurance Engagements other than Audits or Reviews of Historical Financial Information' issued by the International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board, and the 'Practical Guidelines of Sustainability Information Assurance' of the Japanese Association of Assurance Organizations for Sustainability Information ("J-SUS").

The limited assurance engagement on the Report consisted of making inquiries, primarily of persons responsible for the preparation of information presented in the Report, and applying analytical and other procedures. The level of assurance provided is thus not as high as that provided by a reasonable assurance engagement. Our assurance procedures included:

- Interviews with the Company's responsible personnel to obtain an understanding of its policy for the preparation of the Report.
- Reviews of the Company's reporting criteria.
- Inquiries about the design of the systems and methods used to collect and process the Indicators.
- Analytical reviews of the Indicators.
- Examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the generation, aggregation and reporting of the Indicators in conformity with the Company's reporting criteria, and also a recalculation of the Indicators.
- Visits to the Company's factory.
- Evaluating the overall statement in which the Indicators are expressed.

Conclusion

Based on the procedures performed, as described above, nothing has come to our attention that causes us to believe that the Indicators in the Report are not prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with the Company's reporting criteria as described in the Report.

We have no conflict of interest relationships with the Company that are specified in the Code of Ethics of J-SUS.